## The Viking Battle - Part 1 2015 Version: Finnish

**Tehtävä 1** Olkoon  $\geq 2$  kokonaisluku, ja olkoon  $A_n$  joukko

$$A_n = \{2^n - 2^k | k \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \le k < n\}.$$

Selvitä suurin kokonaisluku  $M_n$ , jota ei voi kirjoittaa yhden tai useamman joukon  $A_n$  alkion summana. (Alkioiden ei välttämättä tarvitse olla erisuuria.)

**Tehtävä 2** Määritellään funktio  $f:(0,1)\to(0,1)$  asettamalla

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x + \frac{1}{2} &, x < \frac{1}{2} \\ x^2 &, x \ge \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

Olkoot  $a_0$  ja  $b_0$  kaksi reaalilukua, joille  $0 < a_0 < b_0 < 1$ . Määritellään jonot  $a_n$  ja  $b_n$  asettamalla  $a_n = f(a_{n-1})$  ja  $b_n = f(b_{n-1})$  kaikille  $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ 

Osoita, että on olemassa positiivinen kokonaisluku n, jolle

$$(a_n - a_{n-1}) \cdot (b_n - b_{n-1}) < 0.$$

**Tehtävä 3** Oletetaan, että teräväkärkisessä kolmiossa ABC pätee AB > BC. Olkoon  $\Omega$  kolmion ABC ympäripiirretty ympyrä, ja olkoon O ympyrän  $\Omega$  keskipiste. Kulman  $\angle ABC$  kulmanpuolittaja leikkaa ympyrän  $\Omega$  pisteessä  $M \neq B$ . Olkoon  $\Gamma$  se ympyrä, jonka eräs halkaisija on BM. Kulman  $\angle AOB$  puolittaja leikkaa ympyrää  $\Gamma$  pisteessä P, ja kulman  $\angle BOC$  puolittaja leikkaa ympyrää  $\Gamma$  pisteessä Q. Suoralta PQ on valittu piste R siten, että BR = MR. Osoita, että  $BR \parallel AC$ . (Tässä oletamme aina, että kulmanpuolittaja on puolisuora.)

## Solution to problem 1

Answer:  $M_n = (n-2)2^n + 1$ .

**Part 1.** First we prove that every integer greater than  $(n-2)2^n+1$  can be represented as such a sum. This is achieved by induction on n.

For n=2, the set  $A_n=\{2,3\}$ . Every positive integer m except 1 can be represented as a sum of elements of  $A_n$ : as  $m=2+2+\cdots+2$  if m is even, and as  $m=3+2+2+\cdots+2$  if m is odd.

Now consider some n > 2 and assume the induction hypothesis holds for n - 1. Take an integer  $m > (n - 2)2^n + 1$ . If m is even, then

$$\frac{m}{2} > (n-2)2^{n-1} > ((n-1)-2)2^{n-1} + 1.$$

Hence by the induction hypothesis

$$\frac{m}{2} = (2^{n-1} - 2^{k_1}) + (2^{n-1} - 2^{k_2}) + \dots + (2^{n-1} - 2^{k_r})$$

for some  $k_i$ , with  $0 \le k_i < n-1$ . It follows that

$$m = (2^n - 2^{k_1+1}) + (2^n - 2^{k_2+1}) + \dots + (2^n - 2^{k_r+1}),$$

giving us the desired representation as a sum of elements of  $A_n$ . If m is odd, we consider

$$\frac{m - (2^n - 1)}{2} > \frac{(n - 2)2^n + 1 - (2^n - 1)}{2} = (n - 3)2^{n - 1} + 1.$$

By the induction hypothesis there is a representation of the form

$$\frac{m - (2^{n} - 1)}{2} = (2^{n-1} - 2^{k_1}) + (2^{n-1} - 2^{k_2}) + \dots + (2^{n-1} - 2^{k_r})$$

for some  $k_i$ , with  $0 \le k_i < n-1$ . It follows that

$$m = (2^{n} - 2^{k_1+1}) + (2^{n} - 2^{k_2+1}) + \dots + (2^{n} - 2^{k_r+1}) + (2^{n} - 1),$$

giving us the desired representation of m once again.

**Part 2.** It remains to prove that there is no representation of  $M_n = (n-2)2^n + 1$ . Let N be the smallest positive integer that satisfies  $N \equiv 1 \pmod{2^n}$ , and which can be represented as a sum of elements of  $A_n$ . Consider the representation of N, i.e.

$$N = (2^{n} - 2^{k_1}) + (2^{n} - 2^{k_2}) + \dots + (2^{n} - 2^{k_r}),$$

where  $0 \le k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r < n$ . If  $k_i = k_j = n-1$ , then we can simply remove these two terms from the sum to get a representation for  $N - 2(2^n - 2^{n-1}) = N - 2^n$  as a sum

of elements of  $A_n$ , which contradicts our choice of N. If  $k_i = k_j = k < n-1$ , replace the two terms by  $2^n - 2^{k+1}$ , which is also an element of  $A_n$ , to get a representation for  $N - 2(2^n - 2^k) + 2^n - 2^{k+1} = N - 2^n$ . This is a contradiction once again. Therefor, all  $k_i$  have to be distinct, which means that

$$2^{k_1} + 2^{k_2} + \dots + 2^{k_r} \le 2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^{n-1} = 2^n - 1.$$

On the other hand

$$2^{k_1} + 2^{k_2} + \dots + 2^{k_r} \equiv -\left((2^n - 2^{k_1}) + (2^n - 2^{k_2}) + \dots + (2^n - 2^{k_r})\right) = -N \equiv -1 \pmod{2^n}$$

Thus we must have  $2^{k_1} + 2^{k_2} + \cdots + 2^{k_r} = 2^n - 1$ , which is only possible if each element of  $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$  occurs as one of the  $k_i$ . This gives us

$$N = n2^{n} - (2^{0} + 2^{1} + \dots + 2^{n-1}) = (n-1)2^{n} + 1.$$

In particular this means that  $(n-2)2^n+1$  cannot be represented as a sum of elements of  $A_n$ .

## Solution to problem 2

Note that

$$f(x) - x = \frac{1}{2} > 0 \text{ if } x < \frac{1}{2}$$
$$f(x) - x = x^2 - x < 0 \text{ if } x \ge \frac{1}{2}.$$

We consider the interval (0,1) divided into the two subintervals  $I_1 = (0,\frac{1}{2})$  and  $I_2 = [\frac{1}{2},1)$ . The inequality

$$0 > (a_n - a_{n-1}) \cdot (b_n - b_{n-1}) = (f(a_{n-1}) - a_{n-1})(f(b_{n-1} - b_{n-1}))$$

holds if and only if  $a_{n-1}$  and  $b_{n-1}$  lie in distinct subintervals.

Let us now assume, to the contrary, that  $a_k$  and  $b_k$  always lie in the same subinterval. Consider the distance  $d_k = |a_k - b_k|$ . If both  $a_k$  and  $b_k$  lie in  $I_1$ , then

$$d_{k+1} = |a_{k+1} - b_{k+1}| = \left| a_k + \frac{1}{2} - \left( b_k + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right| = d_k.$$

If, on the other hand,  $a_k$  and  $b_k$  both lie in  $I_2$ , then  $a_k + b_k \ge \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + d_k = 1 + d_k$ , which implies

$$d_{k+1} = |a_{k+1} - b_{k+1}| = |a_k^2 - b_k^2| = |(a_k - b_k)(a_k + b_k)| \ge d_k(1 + d_k).$$

This means that the difference  $d_k$  is non-decreasing, and particular  $d_k \ge d_0 > 0$  for all k.

If  $a_k$  and  $b_k$  lie in  $I_2$ , then

$$d_{k+2} \ge d_{k+1} \ge d_k(1+d_k) \ge d_k(1+d_0).$$

If  $a_k$  and  $b_k$  lie in  $I_1$ , then  $a_{k+1}$  and  $b_{k+1}$  both lie in  $I_2$ , and so we have

$$d_{k+2} \ge d_{k+1}(1+d_{k+1}) \ge d_{k+1}(1+d_0) \ge d_k(1+d_0).$$

In either case,  $d_{k+2} \ge d_k(1+d_0)$ , and inductively we get

$$d_{2m} \ge d_0 (1 + d_0)^m.$$

For sufficiently large m, the right-hand side is greater than 1, a contradiction. Thus there must be a positive integer n such that  $a_{n-1}$  and  $b_{n-1}$  do not lie in the same subinterval, which proves the desired statement.

## Solution to problem 3

Let K be the midpoint of BC, i.e. the centre of  $\Gamma$ . Notice that  $AB \neq BC$  implies that  $K \neq O$ . Clearly the lines OM and OK are perpendicular bisectors of AC and BM, respectively. Therefore, R is the intersection point of PQ and OK.

Let N be the second point of intersection of  $\Gamma$  with the line OM. Hence  $BN \parallel AC$ , and it suffices to prove that BN passes trough R. Our plan for doing this is to interpret the lines BN, OK and PQ as the radical axes of three appropriate circles.

Let  $\omega$  be the circle with diameter BO. Since  $\angle BNO = \angle BKO = 90^{\circ}$ , the points N and K lie on  $\omega$ .

Next we show that the points O, K, P, and Q are concyclic. To this end, let D and E be the midpoints of BC and AB, respectively. By our assumption of triangle ABC, the points B, E, O, K, and D lie on  $\omega$  is this order. It follows that  $\angle EOR = \angle EBK = \angle KBD = \angle KOD$ , so the line KO externally bisects the angle POQ. Since the point K is the centre of  $\Gamma$ , it also lies on the perpendicular bisector of PQ. So K coincides with the midpoint of the arc POQ of the circumcircle  $\gamma$  of triangle POQ.

Thus the lines OK, BN, and PQ are pairwise radical axis of the circles  $\omega$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\Gamma$ . Hence they are concurrent at R, as required.

